

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/007,472	11/08/2001	Richard P. Mackey	42390P12248	3598	
8791	7590 11/16/2006	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
	SOKOLOFF TAYLOR	ничин	ниүүн, кім т		
	12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR			PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGE	ES, CA 90025-1030		2111	•	
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Notice of Abandonment	10/007,472	MACKEY ET AL.			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kim T. Huynh	2112			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
This application is abandoned in view of:					
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	·•			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);				
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.					
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated					
), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.				
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). 	iired by, and within the three-month բ	period set in, the Notice of			
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.					
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.					
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire interest, or all of			
The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.					
The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.					
7. 🔀 The reason(s) below:					
Confirmed with applicant, Rober Hinchliffe, reg. no 55,2	268, the application is now has been	abandoned, no reply has received.			
	MARX	H. RINEHART			
	SUPERVISORY	PATENT EXAMINER BY CENTER 2100			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra					
i calions to revive under 57 Or 17 1.157(a) or (b), or requests to without	in the holding of abaliculintent under 57	or it it is it, allowing by promptly med to			